## STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITES COMMISSION

## DOCKET NO. 18-xxx

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF HAROLD MORSE ON BEHALF OF HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER COMPANY

- 1 Q. Mr. Morse, please state your name and business address for the record.
- 2 A. My name is Harold Morse. My business address is 54 Sawyer Ave. Atkinson, NH
- 3 03811.
- 4 Q. What is your role with HAWC?
- 5 A. I am the president of the Company.
- 6 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 7 A. The purpose of my testimony is to support HAWC effort to increase its cost of equity.
- 8 Q. Please provide an overview of the company.
- 9 A. HAWC is presently franchised in most areas of Hampstead and Atkinson, N. H., and has
- franchised satellite systems in various towns in Rockingham County, NH. HAWC has
- been granted a system wide, consolidated rate in Docket DW 05-112, by Order No.
- 12 24,734. The last general rate case filing by the Company was approved in Docket DW
- 13 12-170 by Order No. 25,519. The Company has added to its infrastructure making
- several large capital improvements. The Company has also restructured its capital
- structure. It has refinanced its debt and added to its additional paid in capital. It is
- presently before the Commission requesting a rate increase in Docket DW 17-118.
- 17 Q. What are the current PUC approved rate of return and return on equity?
- 18 A. The presently PUC approved rate of return is 4.89% and return on equity is 9.75%
- 19 Q. What is the current PUC approved return on equity for all water companies?
- 20 A. The current PUC approved return on equity is 9.6%.
- 21 Q. Has HAWC sought an increase above the PUC approved return on equity?
- 22 A. Yes. In DW 12-170, HAWC initially proposed a cost of common equity of 9.75% plus
- 23 1.00%. HAWC believed that the additional 1.00% was necessary due to the increased

- risks associated with the size and resources available to meet HAWC's capital and
- 2 operating requirements.
- 3 Q. What was the result of the cost of equity in DW 12-170?
- 4 A. HAWC settled on the PUC approved cost of equity of 9.75%.
- 5 Q. Why was the approved cost of equity not enough?
- 6 A. The approved equity is not enough because it does not adequately recognize the size of
- 7 HAWC and the additional difficulty in raising capital, meeting regulatory requirements,
- 8 replacing aging infrastructure and operating and maintaining the water system.
- 9 Q. If the cost of equity were higher, what would HAWC be able to do?
- 10 A. First of all, it would take some of the pressure off cash flow. Second, it would lessen the
- 11 need to raise capital and specifically borrow funds at increasing interest rates. Third, it
- would enable HAWC to replace plant sooner, potentially increasing efficiency.
- Q. What was the basis for your decision to join Abenaki Water Company and Lakes Region
- 14 Water Company to engage a cost of equity expert?
- 15 A. HAWC is treated the same as any large, publicly traded, multi-state water utility. We are
- a small regional water utility solely located in New Hampshire. There has never been any
- 17 recognition of the additional risks associated with a small water company. By pooling
- 18 resources and sharing costs, HAWC hopes to finally receive some additional percentage
- above the PUC approved cost of equity.
- 20 Q. Please provide any general comments on Ms. Ahern's testimony.
- 21 A. HAWC supports Ms. Ahern's testimony.
- Q. Is there anything that you would like to add to Ms. Ahern's testimony?
- 23 A. No.

- 1 Q. How would a favorable result of this Petition impact HAWC?
- 2 A. HAWC intends to incorporate such a result into DW 17-118 so to have a more equitable
- 3 calculation of the cost of equity.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.